From:
A303 Stonehenge

Subject: REF: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge Road Scheme

Date: 11 March 2022 23:26:28

Dear Sir/Madam,

Further to the Secretary Of State For Tansport's demand to make a new decision in the Stonehenge road scheme, I cannot stress to you how crass an idea the entire scheme is particularly as the idea was initially rejected for very sound, common sense reasons.

The entire area is still littered with archaeological finds under the surface – I recall seeing black and white photographs whilst attending a talk at the Reardon Smith Lecture theatre in Cardiff in 1984 and these photos clearly showed then many then unexplored areas) and some of them still have not been explored to this day !!) that clearly showed there were sites of scientific interest very near and on the proposed road route. I had zero experience of archaeology or archaeological digs at the time – after having undertaken a good 'old fashioned/school' university science joint honours degree several years later, this has simply fortified my conclusions over the years that there is near endless amount of archaeological work still required in the area to uncover more of the area's historic secrets. The idea of building a so-called new efficient road system to infringe on a mass cemetery site is a hideous idea – learn some respect: most of the tribes of the Native American Indians even circumvented such sites even when they were at war with one another and the invading white man

The so-called new more efficient road system is really a farcical idea – such a scheme will sure enough speed the traffic queues farthest away from the city of Salisbury and then guess what these lanes of traffic will be forced to slow down and form large air pollutioncausing queues on the edge of the city due to the sensible traffic calming measures currently positioned there – counterproductive or what !! Who does the Secretary of State think he is kidding - himself - since there are very few people even in Highways England who believe the scheme has any credibility – perhaps public money would be better spent in investigating how much 'back hander' money is involved to people in prominent positions who apparently have the right to even think of overturning the original, correct decision – why is this the case that people who have the power seem to believe they singularly have the right? Dirty Money – the entire idea smells from start to finish – what's the matter, are they struggling with the idea they are losing votes and may not get elected again so are pushing ill-thought ideas through regardless of the cost to the public purse and elsewhere in society for personal gain – another crime against the long-term safety of the world – how long can this stupidity continue – are all of you who read this email (and no doubt there will be many other separate objections by many other people and bodies) that brainwashed?

You should all be held accountable for environmental damage and encouraging deleterious climate change and not simply through long, drawn out and expensive enquiries which if you lose will AGAIN come out of the public purse – it should come out of your own back pockets with criminal charges to follow – no doubt, we shall all have to endure the sad reality that this will not happen and you will get away with another 'could not care less' meaningless scheme being constructed when there are other more deserving cases for genuine improved road building needed in the UK but still ignored – quite simply since there is not enough profit to be made out of these which would result in greatly reducing air pollution levels

– then again such schemes historically of course do not yield profits to the usual scavengers (slap my wrist, honoured highly regarded developers) who wait in the wings

You will live with this in the back of your minds for decades to come if this scheme goes ahead (although I doubt if many of you have an existing entity called a moral conscience to plague you – it will be more of a case whether you can hang on to your jobs by your bootlaces)

